

**ACT 537 SEWAGE FACILITIES PLAN
HOWE TOWNSHIP
PERRY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1 PREVIOUS PLANNING AND LAND USE REGULATION	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 PREVIOUS WASTEWATER PLANNING	1-1
1.3 HOWE TOWNSHIP COMPREHENSIVE PLAN	1-2
2 PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF PLANNING AREA	
2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANNING AREA	2-1
2.2 PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS	2-1
2.3 SOILS	2-1
2.4 GEOLOGIC FEATURES	2-9
2.5 TOPOGRAPHY	2-10
2.6 POTABLE WATER SUPPLIES	2-10
2.7 WETLANDS	2-10
3 EVALUATION OF EXISTING WASTEWATER FACILITIES	
3.1 EXISTING MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	3-1
3.2 EXISTING PACKAGE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES	3-1
3.3 EXISTING INDIVIDUAL ON-LOT SYSTEMS	3-1
3.4 WASTEWATER SLUDGE AND SEPTAGE GENERATION	3-7
4 FUTURE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT	
4.1 LAND USE REGULATIONS AND PLANNING	4-1
4.2 GROWTH AREAS IDENTIFIED BY PREVIOUS PLANNING	4-3
4.3 EXISTING AND FUTURE GROWTH AREAS	4-4
4.4 GROWTH PROJECTIONS	4-4
4.5 WASTEWATER FLOW PROJECTIONS	4-5
4.6 SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER PLANNING NEEDS	4-6
5 WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES	
5.1 POTENTIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.2 CONVENTIONAL COLLECTION, CONVEYANCE AND TREATMENT SYSTEMS	5-2
5.3 COMMUNITY ON-LOT DISPOSAL SYSTEMS (COLDS)	5-4
5.4 CONTINUED USE OF ON-LOT DISPOSAL SYSTEMS	5-4
5.5 SMALL FLOW OR PACKAGE TREATMENT FACILITIES	5-5
5.6 HOLDING TANKS	5-6
5.7 SEWAGE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS	5-7
5.8 NON-STRUCTURAL/PLANNING ACTIVITIES	5-8
5.9 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5-9
5.10 STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES FOR STUDY AREAS	5-9
5.11 INTERMUNICIPAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES	5-37
5.12 CONCLUSIONS	5-37

**ACT 537 SEWAGE FACILITIES PLAN
HOWE TOWNSHIP
PERRY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

		<u>Page</u>
6	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	
6.1	CONSISTENCY EVALUATION	6-1
6.2	PENNVEST CONSISTENCY	6-3
6.3	WATER QUALITY STANDARDS	6-4
6.4	COST ANALYSIS	6-4
6.5	FUNDING ALTERNATIVES FOR S.R. 34 PLANNED GROWTH AREA ALTERNATIVES	6-4
6.6	FUNDING ALTERNATIVES FOR INDIVIDUAL ON-LOT SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS	6-10
6.7	PHASED IMPLEMENTATION	6-10
6.8	ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION AND LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION	6-11
7	INSTITUTIONAL EVALUATION	
7.1	ANALYSIS OF EXISTING AUTHORITIES	7-1
7.2	ANALYSIS OF INSTITUTIONAL ALTERNATIVES	7-1
7.3	IMPLEMENTATION OF INSTITUTIONAL ALTERNATIVE	7-2
7.4	SELECTED INSTITUTIONAL ALTERNATIVE	7-2
8	SELECTED ALTERNATIVES	
8.1	SELECTED ALTERNATIVE(S)	8-1
8.2	CAPITAL FINANCING PLAN FOR SELECTED STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVE(S)	8-2
8.3	PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	8-2
TABLES		
1-1	MAJOR WASTEWATER FACILITY PLANNING CONDUCTED FOR HOWE TOWNSHIP	1
2-1	ON-LOT SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM SUITABILITY CRITERIA	2-3
2-2	ON-LOT DISPOSAL SYSTEMS SOIL SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT	2-6
3-1	TOWNSHIP SEO REPORTED ON-LOT MALFUNCTIONS AND REPAIRS	3-2
3-2	MINIMUM OLDS REQUIREMENTS FOR DOOR-TO-DOOR SANITARY SURVEY- TIER 2	3-4
3-3	SUMMARY OF TIER 1 SURVEY MALFUNCTION CATEGORIES	3-4
3-4	SUMMARY OF TIER 2 SURVEY MALFUNCTION CATEGORIES	3-5
3-5	TIER 1 WELL WATER SURVEY RESULTS- BACTERIA AND NITRATE CONTAMINATION	3-6
3-6	TIER 2 WELL WATER SURVEY RESULTS – BACTERIA AND NITRATE CONTAMINATION	3-6
4-1	FUTURE LAND USE	4-1
4-2	LOT SIZES FOR SUBDIVISIONS NOT SERVED BY PUBLIC SEWER	4-3

**ACT 537 SEWAGE FACILITIES PLAN
HOWE TOWNSHIP
PERRY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

TABLES (Continued)

4-3	LOT SIZES FOR SUBDIVISIONS SERVED BY PUBLIC SEWER AND WATER FACILITIES	4-3
4-4	POPULATION HISTORY AND PROJECTIONS.....	4-4
4-5	APPROVED LAND DEVELOPMENT AND SUBDIVISIONS.....	4-5
4-6	ESTIMATED WASTEWATER FLOW	4-6
5-1	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1A	5-15
5-2	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1B	5-16
5-3	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1C	5-17
5-4	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1D.....	5-18
5-5	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1E	5-19
5-6	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1F.....	5-20
5-7	COST OPINION FOR JUNIATA PARKWAY STUDY AREA ALTERNATIVE 1G.....	5-21
5-8	COST OPINION FOR S.R. 34 PLANNED GROWTH AREA ALTERNATIVE 2A.....	5-22
5-9	COST OPINION FOR S.R. 34 PLANNED GROWTH AREA ALTERNATIVE 2B	5-23
5-10	COST OPINION FOR S.R. 34 PLANNED GROWTH AREA ALTERNATIVE 2C	5-24
5-11	COST OPINION FOR RURAL FOCUS AREA ALTERNATIVE 3A.....	5-25
5-12	COST OPINION FOR RURAL FOCUS AREA ALTERNATIVE 3B.....	5-26
5-13	COST OPINION FOR S.R. 34 PLANNED GROWTH AREA W/FUTURE NBMA WWTP CAPACITY ALTERNATIVE 4A.....	5-27
5-14	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- PENNVEST FINANCING (2.728%, 20YRS)	5-28
5-15	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- PENNVEST FINANCING (25% GRANT, 75% LOAN @ 2.728%, 20YRS)	5-29
5-16	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- PENNVEST FINANCING (50% GRANT, 50% LOAN @ 2.728%, 20YRS)	5-30
5-17	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- PENNVEST FINANCING (75% GRANT, 25% LOAN @ 2.728%, 20YRS)	5-31
5-18	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- USDA RUS FINANCING (3.25%, 40YRS)	5-32
5-19	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- USDA RUS FINANCING (25% GRANT, 75% LOAN @ 3.25%, 40YRS)	5-33

**ACT 537 SEWAGE FACILITIES PLAN
HOWE TOWNSHIP
PERRY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

TABLES (Continued)

5-20	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- USDA RUS FINANCING (50% GRANT, 50% LOAN @ 3.25%, 40YRS)	5-34
5-21	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- USDA RUS FINANCING (75% GRANT, 25% LOAN @ 3.25%, 40YRS)	5-35
5-22	SUMMARY OF COST OPINIONS FOR STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES- BOND FINANCING (5.25%, 20YRS)	5-36

APPENDICES

A	UNIFORM ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT
B	SUMMARY OF SANITARY SURVEYS
C	CORRESPONDENCE
D	ORDINANCES
E	STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVE MAPS
F	TOWNSHIP MAPS
	1 GENERAL LOCATION
	2 SURFACE WATER RESOURCES AND FLOODPLAINS
	3 SOILS
	4 SOIL DESIGNATIONS (PRIME FARMLAND AND HYDRIC)
	5 SOIL SUITABILITY
	6 GEOLOGY
	7 TOPOGRAPHY
	8 SLOPES
	9 POTABLE WATER SUPPLES
	10 TYPES OF SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS USED
	11 FUTURE LAND USE
	11A ZONING
	12-1 PERRY COUNTY FUTURE LAND USE
	12 PLANNED SUBDIVISIONS
	13 DEP OLDS MALFUNCTION CLASSIFICATIONS
	14 WELL WATER SAMPLING RESULTS
G	TASK ACTIVITY REPORT
H	PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW COMMENTS AND RESPONSES
I	PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD: PUBLIC NOTICE/PROOF OF PUBLICATION AND PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RESPONSES
J	RED HILL DEVELOPMENT, L.P. – SEWER FEASIBILITY STUDY
K	APRIL 29, 2010 NBMA PROPOSED COSTS FOR TREATMENT
L	ACT 537 PLAN CONTENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST
M	HOWE TOWNSHIP FINANCIAL BALANCE SHEET